

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF
THE BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY EXAMINERS
November 7, 2005

ROLL CALL

The meeting of the Board of Cosmetology Examiners was called to order by Chairperson, Stacey Tessendorf at 9:45 a.m., Conference Room 6Z, Nebraska State Office Building, Lincoln, Nebraska. Copies of the agenda were mailed to the Board members and other interested parties in accordance with the Public Meeting Law. The following members answered roll call:

Bruce Nims	- Member
Don Osentowski	- Public Member
Jeff Pippitt	- Member
Judy Roubal	- Member
Pam Rowland	- Vice Chairperson
Sherri Scheele	- Secretary
Stacey Tessendorf	- Chairperson
Monty Vogel	- Member
Amy Waskel	- Member
Judy Wilson	- Member

The following members were not present: Larrene Myles and Marlene Wagoner.

A quorum was present and the meeting convened. Also present were Bradley Shaff, Assistant Attorney General; Carol Ann Gray, Credentialing Coordinator; and Susan Chocholousek, Credentialing Specialist, Credentialing Division.

INTRODUCTION OF APPOINTED BODY ART MEMBER – Monty Vogel from Omaha.

9:50 a.m. Sharon Fitts, RN, and Loretta Bennett, RN, Investigators, Investigation Division, entered the meeting.

9:55 a.m. Mr. Kiet Phan, Owner, The Lady's Nails, Omaha and Vivian Lu Ham entered the meeting.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

MOTION: Jeff Pippitt moved, seconded by Pam Rowland to adopt the agenda as printed. A voice vote was taken. Voting aye: all. Voting nay: none. Motion carried.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

a. Conference call minutes - none

b. August 1, 2005 Board Meeting Minutes

Chairperson Tessendorf requested to delay approval of the August 1, 2005 minutes until later in the meeting. A voice vote was taken. Voting aye: all. Voting nay: none.

STATISTICAL REPORT

Ms. Gray reported the following:

a. Examinations

- Examination statistical report - none
- Pencil/Paper Testing Procedures - At the October 12, 2005 pencil/paper examination, Larry Walthers, representative from the National Interstate Council of State Boards of Cosmetology (NIC) observed the testing procedures. The intent being to observe how the examinations are given, to see if proper procedures were followed and that testing security was in place. Ms. Gray was verbally advised that Nebraska does a fine job. A written report is to follow.

- The next pencil/paper examination is scheduled for Monday, November 14, 2005. There are three full sessions scheduled.

b. Renewals

- **Nail Technology** - Nail Technology licenses expire on December 31, 2005. A total of 91 nail technicians have renewed to date.
- **Cosmetology and Nail Technology Salon** - licenses expired September 30, 2005. Any salons submitting the renewal after September 30, 2005 were required to pay a late penalty fee in addition to the regular renewal fee. Salons operating after September 30, 2005 on an expired can be fined an Administrative Penalty Fee of \$10 per day up to \$1,000.
- **School licenses** expire on December 31, 2005. All of the required biennial school accreditation inspections have been conducted. There were two schools that did not pass the sanitation portion of the inspection. A satisfactory re-inspection is required before the school license may be renewed. Renewal notices will be mailed soon.

c. Licensing

- **Closed** - Ephesus School of Nails in Omaha is closed. Upon arrival for the inspection, it was discovered the school name is still on the strip mall sign, however, there is a Sudanese Market in the space formerly occupied by Ephesus School.
- **New** - Xenon Int'l School of Hair Design II, Inc. is planning to open a school in Grand Island. Xenon currently has a school in Omaha. Inspection of the new Grand Island location is scheduled to occur on Wednesday, November 9, 2005.

NATIONAL-INTERSTATE COUNCIL OF STATE BOARDS OF COSMETOLOGY (NIC)

a. NIC Annual Conference – August 27-29, 2005, Washington, DC

Don Osentowski, Jeff Pippitt, and Pam Rowland attended the meeting. They reported the following:

- **Airbrush Tanning Safety and Sanitation** – Rowland reported regarding the presentation by the chairperson of the National Tanning Training Institute. There are two types of tanning systems; booth spray tanning and airbrush tanning. Both require adequate ventilation. Patch tests are recommended. Spray should be applied 6-8 inches apart. On July 1, 2003 the FDA made a statement indicating face protection should be used for the lips, eyes, and mucus membranes. Technicians should wear disposable gloves and masks. No major reactions have been documented, however, minor rash was documented. Persons who are asthmatic or pregnant should consult their physician. Walls, floors, etc. should be cleaned before and after each use because mold and bacteria may grow. Keep product away from outlets. The floor should be covered as it can become sticky and result in falls. Unused product should be emptied and receptacle cleaned before fresh product is added. Eyewear should be sanitized. Hospital grade disinfectant is recommended.
- **Pedicure Foot Spa Sanitation** – Rowland reported that Jim Castille, Owner of AmeriSpa in California, presented information regarding a machine called BioSmart that can be attached to any pedicure foot spa to disinfect it. The BioSmart machine eliminates human error by automatically dispersing the disinfectant and running it through an entire cycle. This should be done after every client. He noted a study of over 800 salons in California indicated the following bacteria have been found in the foot spas: staph, strep, microbacteria, airborne bacteria. Fungus and Herpes can be transmitted through open wounds. Bio film is the term for a cluster of bacteria found in the residual on the sides of a spa tub. Bacteria that is not properly killed mutates. The tubing and hoses in pedicure foot spas is where the bacteria can originate and/or mutate if not cleaned properly. The BioSmart system costs \$300.00 to install into a foot spa. In the study, 37 salons accounted for \$20 million worth of lawsuits.

Bradley Shaff departed the meeting at 9:57 a.m.

George Pflager, Investigator, Investigation Division, entered the meeting at 10:00 a.m.

- **Licensee Mobility** – Pippitt reported there is a need for more flexibility when people transfer from state-to-state. The credit hour and contact hour are still an area of confusion regarding training. NIC would like to see the problem resolved with the credit hour/clock hour debate. Each state differs from the amount of credit hours or contact hours that they require.

Kris Chiles, Section Administrator, Credentialing Division, entered the meeting at 10:07 a.m.

- **Tattooing and Bloodborne Pathogens Health and Safety** – Osentowski reported tattooing has been around for over 5,000 years. A representative of OSHA presented information regarding tattooing and bloodborne pathogens health and safety.

Bruce Nims suggested that NIC consider pursuing the following topics: Hair color product patch test requirements and permanent waving product stated processing times vs. test curl results. He has concerns that manufacturer's will not be found responsible for faulty products simply because they place a reference on the instructions, even if there is a problem with the product. Licensees oftentimes are not performing patch tests before hair coloring products are applied. Manufacturers are stating time limits on packaging for permanent wave products that are not compatible with the amount of time need to achieve the results. Several years ago one of his schools lost a lawsuit due to following test curl results rather than the time stated on the packaging. The manufacturer had indicated a time that the product should be removed, however, the test curl results indicated the desired curl had not been achieved. The client definitely had a reaction and was hospitalized for several days. The school was found liable for not following the directions. When Mr. Nims contacted the supplier, he was advised that the time was just a suggestion. However, the court did not look at it that way.

- **Esthetics Training Categories** – Rowland reported some states have indicated to NIC that they are requiring 600 hours basic training for an Esthetics license and 600 hours additional training for an Advanced Esthetics license. The advanced training includes knowledge of acids, chemical peels, etc.

Kris Chiles, Kiet Phan and Vivian Hu Lam departed meeting at 10:15 a.m.

Brad Shaff returned to the meeting at 10:15 a.m.

Kris Chiles returned to meeting at 10:16

- **OSHA** - Rowland reported OSHA conducted a study of hazards in workplaces and found that the following are the most reported: Chemical exposure, blood borne pathogens, electrical hazards, tripping and slipping in the workplace. The top 5 problems within the beauty industry are:
 1. Hazards and communication regarding chemicals; MSDS (Material Safety Data Sheets), and labeling.
 2. Bloodborne pathogens
 3. MMA (Methyl Methacrylate)
 4. Nail dust
 5. Working with acetone

INVESTIGATIONAL INFORMATION/REPORTS – CLOSED SESSION

MOTION: Judy Roubal moved, seconded by Jeff Pippitt to enter Closed Session for the purpose of hearing discussion of investigative reports and for the prevention of needless injury to the reputation of the individuals. A roll call vote was taken. Voting aye: Nims, Osentowski, Pippitt, Roubal, Rowland, Scheele, Vogel, Waskel, Wilson and Tessendorf; ten (10). Voting nay: none (0). Motion carried.

The board meeting entered closed session at 10:19 a.m.

Susan Chocholousek departed the meeting at 10:30 a.m.

Mr. Kiet Phan, Owner, The Lady's Nail Salon and Vivian Hu Lam entered the meeting at 10:31 a.m.

George Pflager departed the meeting at 10:31 a.m.

Mr. Phan and Ms. Lam departed the meeting at 10:42 a.m.

Susan Chocholousek returned to the meeting at 10:45 a.m.

Duane Newland, Investigator, Investigations Division, entered the meeting at 11:00 a.m.

George Pflager returned to the meeting at 11:00 a.m.

Don Osentowski departed the meeting at 11:00 a.m.

Carol Ann Gray and Sherri Scheele departed the meeting at 11:02 a.m.

Don Osentowski returned to the meeting at 11:04 a.m.

Sherri Scheele returned to the meeting at 11:05 a.m.

Carol Ann Gray returned to the meeting at 11:06 a.m.

Monty Vogel departed the meeting due to a potential conflict of interest at 11:26 a.m.

Kris Chiles departed the meeting at 11:40 a.m.

Chairperson Tessendorf declared a break at 11:45 a.m.

Closed Session reconvened at 11:55 p.m.

Investigators Sharon Fitts and Lorretta Bennett departed at 12:02 p.m.

Investigator Duane Newland departed the meeting at 12:15 p.m.

Carol Ann Gray returned to the meeting at 12:17 p.m.

Investigator George Pflager departed the meeting at 12:25 p.m.

Jeff Pippitt departed the meeting due to a potential conflict of interest at 12:35 p.m.

Pam Rowland departed the meeting due to a potential conflict of interest at 12:36 p.m.

Jeff Pippitt returned to the meeting at 12:38 p.m.

Bruce Nims departed the meeting due to a potential conflict of interest at 12:41 p.m.

Pam Rowland returned to the meeting at 12:41 p.m.

Bruce Nims returned to the meeting at 12:49 p.m.

Don Osentowski departed the meeting at 1:00 p.m.

Don Osentowski returned to the meeting at 1:04 p.m.

Sherri Scheele departed the meeting at 1:08 p.m.

Brad Shaff departed the meeting at 1:10 p.m.

Sherri Scheele returned to the meeting at 1:11 p.m.

MOTION: Pam Rowland moved, seconded by Sherri Scheele to return to Open Session. A voice vote was taken. Voting aye: all. Voting nay: none. Motion carried.

The meeting reconvened to Open Session at 1:41p.m.

Chairperson Tessendorf declared a break at 1:42 p.m.

The meeting resumed at 2 p.m.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES - Resumed

Discussion was held regarding clarification of some of the information in the minutes.

MOTION: Pippitt moved, seconded by Amy Waskel to approve the minutes as written. A voice vote was taken. Voting aye: all. Voting nay: none. Motion carried.

DISCIPLINARY/DENIAL/PENALTY INFORMATION- OPEN SESSION

Ms. Chiles indicated the following actions taken since the August 1, 2005 board meeting:

1. Actions Taken/Pending

a. Body Art

- Ralph Spangler – Petition to Revoke Probation filed on August 17, 2005 resulted in Censure for Probationary Violation issued October 28, 2005.

b. Cosmetology

- Danielle Boruch – Civil Penalty issued and license placed on Probation on September 8, 2005.
- Lynette Chvala – Petition for Disciplinary Action filed September 15, 2005. Hearing scheduled for near future.
- Hesselgesser Salon and Day Spa – Non-Disciplinary Assurance of Compliance filed on October 6, 2005.
- Marissa Miller – Petition to Revoke Probation filed August 30, 2005. Resulted in Censure issued on October 26, 2005.
- Joanna Santos – License Revoked for Probation Violation on August 11, 2005.
- Jennifer Lin Tucker – License placed on Probation August 17, 2005.

c. Nail Technology

- Hung Ho – Petition for Disciplinary Action filed on October 6, 2005.
- Nebraska Nails – Petition for Disciplinary Action filed on October 6, 2005.
- Connie Rogers – License placed on Probation on September 26, 2005.

2. Administrative Penalties Assessed – Ms. Chiles explained Administrative Penalties are assessed when practice occurs before a license is issued or after a license has expired. The Administrative Penalty is assessed at \$10 per day up to \$1,000. It is not a disciplinary action. Administrative Penalty fees are not kept by the department, they are transferred into the Department of Education Permanent School Fund.

The following Administrative Penalties have been assessed since the August 1, 2005 meeting:

3 Cosmetologists = \$730
8 Cosmetology Salons = \$510
? Nail Technologists = \$558

Since July 1, 2004 a total of \$13,700 Administrative Penalty fees have been paid by the various license categories within the Cosmetology Board. There is a total of \$6,590 pending. The Legal Department is considering taking disciplinary action against those that have not paid the Administrative Penalty fees.

LEGISLATIVE UPDATE

Ms. Chiles reported the following:

a. Uniform Licensing Law Re-write

The department mailed all board members a copy of the Uniform Licensing Law Re-write (REQ 138). The Uniform Licensing Law includes information regarding disciplinary grounds, unprofessional conduct, renewal processes, reinstatement processes, administrative penalty, and mandatory reporting.

The Uniform Licensing Law Re-write was the topic for the June, 2004 All Health Related Boards Meeting. In addition to the input received at the All Health Related Boards Meeting, two forums were held to receive comments on the Re-write. If any members desire to comment on the Re-write they should do so immediately as the information is being finalized with the intent to have legislation introduced during January, 2006.

b. Practice Act Proposed Changes

As a follow up to the Uniform Licensing Law Re-write, all of the statutes for the individual practice acts need to be changed. It is the intent to have all of the individual practice acts introduced in January in one massive bill separate from the Uniform Licensing Law Re-write bill. Worksessions were held to recommend changes to the Cosmetology Law. Some of the changes include changing the name of the board from the “Board of Cosmetology Examiners” to “The Board of Cosmetology, Electrology, Esthetics, Nail Technology, and Body Art”. Some of the other changes include: Board membership, continuing education exemptions, how long hours are acceptable, cease registering students with the department, cease requiring schools to report monthly the number of hours earned by students, cease requiring a person to graduate from a Nebraska school before taking the licensure examination, and require salons to maintain minimum insurance as required for initial licensure. Another change such as removing the renewal date from the Cosmetology Law, it is in regulations instead.

Ms. Chiles encouraged members to review the proposed changes and anyone having questions or suggestions regarding the changes to contact her as soon as possible. The intent is to have the bill introduced during January, 2006.

c. Other – none

APPLICATION REVIEW/RECOMMENDATIONS

a. and c. combined: Initial Licensure and Convictions

Ms. Chiles indicated motions are needed for recommendations for applications presented during closed session.

- Rebecca Rose, Cosmetologist - Judy Roubal moved, seconded by Jeff Pippitt to recommend issuance of a cosmetology license with 5 years probation, attend AA meetings, follow evaluations recommended by the assessment, and abstain from alcohol. Voting aye: Nims, Osentowski, Pippitt, Roubal, Rowland, Scheele, Vogel, Waskel, Wilson and Tessendorf; ten (10). Voting nay: none (0). Motion carried.
- Brenda Daly, Cosmetologist - Monty Vogel moved, seconded by Judy Wilson to recommend issuance of a cosmetology license with 2 years probation, follow treatment recommendations, abstain from alcohol until the age of 21. Voting aye: Nims, Osentowski, Pippitt, Roubal, Rowland, Scheele, Vogel, Waskel, and Wilson; nine (9). Voting nay: Tessendorf; one (1). Motion carried.
- Victoria Garcia, Cosmetologist – Jeff Pippitt moved, seconded by Pam Rowland to recommend issuance of a cosmetology license with 2 years probation, employer quarterly reports, no solo practice and no access to handling

money. Voting aye: Nims, Osentowski, Pippitt, Roubal, Rowland, Scheele, Vogel, Waskel, and Wilson; nine (9). Voting nay: Tessendorf; one (1). Motion carried.

- Schuyler Racek, Cosmetologist – additional information needed.
- Vanda Lopez, Cosmetologist – Judy Roubal moved, seconded by Jeff Pippitt to issue the license without stipulations. A voice vote was taken. Voting aye: all. Voting nay: none.
- Erica Einspahr, Cosmetologist – Judy Wilson moved, seconded by Jeff Pippitt to issue the license without stipulations. A voice vote was taken. Voting aye: all. Voting nay: none.
- Tara Burmood, Nail Technologist – Amy Waskel moved, seconded by Judy Wilson to issue the license without stipulations. A voice vote was taken. Voting aye: nine (9). Voting nay: none (0). Abstain: Rowland (1). Motion carried.
- Debra Huss, Cosmetologist

Judy Roubal moved, seconded by Don Osentowski to take a roll call vote for this application. A voice vote was taken. Voting aye: nine (9). Voting nay: none (0). Abstain: Pippitt (1). Motion carried.

Bruce Nims moved, seconded by Monty Vogel to issue a cosmetology license without stipulations to Debra Huss. Voting aye: Nims, Osentowski, Rowland, Vogel, Waskel, Wilson, and Tessendorf; seven (7). Voting no: Roubal; one (1). Abstain: Pippitt and Scheele; two (2). Motion carried.

b. Reinstatement

- Diana Clayton-Resnick, Cosmetologist – Judy Wilson moved, seconded by Bruce Nims to reinstate the license on probation for 5 years with standard alcohol and drug conditions, no solo practice, and during the first 2 years of the probation, quarterly reports from the employer regarding her practice. Voting aye: Nims, Osentowski, Pippitt, Roubal, Rowland, Scheele, Waskel, and Wilson; eight (8). Voting nay: Vogel and Tessendorf; (two) 2. Motion carried.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

a. Newsletter

Ms. Chiles indicated the Newsletter is on the task list. The newsletter is one of the items for the worksession on December 5, 2005.

b. Sanitation Check List

Ms. Chiles indicated the December 5, 2005 worksession will also focus on revised inspection forms and making a determination of how the various areas of the inspection should be weighted. New forms have been drafted. It needs to be determined how many no's equal a failure. Body Art inspections require 100 percent compliance, the worksession participants will consider if changes are necessary.

Ms. Chiles reminded the next worksession is scheduled on December 5, 2005 at 9:00 a.m. Attendance is not mandatory.

Ms. Gray will obtain a copy of the Kansas newsletter for reference.

b. Update – Letter from Iowa State Board of Cosmetology Regarding Iowa Licensees Transferring to Nebraska

Ms. Gray gave background information concerning a presentation at the August 2005 Nebraska Board of Cosmetology Meeting regarding the difficulty Iowa licensees encounter when applying for a Nebraska license. Nebraska cannot accept licensees unless the basis for issuance of the license was passing a written and a practical examination. Several

years ago the Iowa State Board of Cosmetology changed their licensure requirement from passage of a theory and practical examination to passage of a theory examination. The issue is further complicated by the fact Nebraska allows only Nebraska cosmetology school graduates to take the licensing examination. Ms. Gray has now received a letter indicating the Iowa Board of Cosmetology is going to approach their lawmaking body to revise the licensure requirements to indicate completion of a theory and practical examination, rather than completion of a theory examination.

Nebraska is considering changing the requirements to allow non-Nebraska graduates to take the licensing examination.

Ms. Gray was requested to send a letter to Iowa regarding the changes that the Nebraska Board is considering making and to make it clear that these are proposals only, not guarantees that the changes will occur.

c. Other - none

NEW BUSINESS

a. Correspondence/Issues/Other

Body Art

- **Conference Attendance** - Monty Vogel indicated he has received a call from an individual in California who advised him the Association of Professional Piercers (APP) Annual Conference offers a full course of classes free to board members. Mr. Vogel will check further into the qualifications/requirements. There are five days involved. The classes include legislation, bedside manner, materials, bloodborne pathogens and first aid classes. No techniques are taught. The conference is always held in Las Vegas, Nevada.

Ms. Chiles clarified that in order for board funds to be expended to attend a conference, the organization must be of state boards rather than an organization of practitioners. Mr. Vogel is to obtain more information to be considered in determining the feasibility of attending.

- **Body Art Facility Inspections** – Ms. Chiles indicated she and Ms. Gray conducted some body art facility inspections while traveling around the state for the cosmetology school accreditation inspections. All facilities are required to submit a self-evaluation with the license application. The very same information on the self-evaluation is contained in the inspection report used for the state inspection. Body Art Facilities are required to be 100 percent compliant.

Ms. Gray reviewed the client records. Most facilities had records with some information missing regarding name of artist, type of service performed, location of service performed, date of service or identification for the client. The majority of facilities had complete records for any minors receiving body art.

Ms. Chiles reported most facilities were extremely clean. One facility had needles overflowing out of the container so they were cited for that. All had an autoclave in working order, manufacturer's directions, current spore tests, and autoclave logs (even though not required). Some sharps were found out of packaging, which is not acceptable and also a safety hazard. Some autoclaved items were outdated, possibly due to the fact that they are not used very often and allowed to remain in the container.

Discussion was held regarding the possibility of revising the 100 percent compliance requirement. Ms. Chiles indicated this would be included in the December 5, 2005 worksession.

Cosmetology – none

Electrology – none

Esthetics – Sherri Scheele asked for the Board's opinion regarding the Advanced Esthetics Licensing referenced during the NIC report. If it is decided that Nebraska would pursue the Advanced license what procedures need to be followed.

Ms. Chiles clarified that if the board seeks to add a new credential, there is an application process through the 407 review group that must be followed. If not through the 407 process, then it becomes a question of who will introduce the legislation.

Nail Technology

- **Foot spas** - Pam Rowland indicated she believes there needs to be changes made in regard to sanitation/disinfection of foot spas and possibly to request changes for manicuring/pedicuring.
- **Clarification of 14 hours nail drill training requirements in the practical class curriculum** – Ms. Chiles indicated questions have been received regarding the interpretation of how to calculate the training. Some have interpreted this to mean a certain number of sets of nails. According to the way the requirement is written, the actual time spent on using the nail drill is to be counted. Not time in a theory class or time doing other parts of a service in which the drill is used.
- **Accreditation Inspection Observations** – Ms. Chiles requested comments regarding the inspection forms/process that were used during the inspections. Sherri Scheele accompanied the team on some inspections. Discussion followed regarding observations. It was the consensus of the board that the forms need changing, weighting of supplies versus curriculum need to be considered, content of the library, etc.

b. Using the same room for different scope of practice – Ms. Chiles presented the scenario of a space being used by a massage therapist during part of the day and an esthetician using the room during the other part of the day. Also to be considered is space being used by an unlicensed person part of the time and a licensed person at other times. Discussion followed with no conclusion. Ms. Chiles requested the board to think about this issue and it will be part of a future worksession.

b. **Board Meeting Dates And Examination Dates For 2006** – Ms. Gray distributed a listing of the possible dates during 2006 using the traditional dates. She asked for consideration of the Board Meeting Dates first. As an outgoing member, Stacey Tessendorf suggested having more than four meetings per year. Discussion followed regarding the increased time required for review of applications with convictions and other issues. It was determined the number of board meetings would be increased to six times per year. The following was determined:

- **Regularly scheduled board meeting dates during 2006** are: February 6, April 3, June 5, August 7, October 2, December 4.
- **Future worksession dates** are: December 5, 2005 and January 9, 2006.
- **Start times for all board meetings and worksessions:** 9:30 a.m.

Discussion was held regarding examination dates. Paper/pencil format examinations are set in advance and are held in Lincoln. The computer-based format examinations are scheduled by the students after receiving an authorization letter from the department and are not held on dates determined by the board.

- **The Paper/Pencil format examinations are scheduled on the following dates during 2006:** January 9, March 13, May 8, July 10, September 11, and November 13
- **2007** - Discussion was held regarding the possibility of reducing the number of paper/pencil format examination dates from six to four during 2007. No determination was made, more information is needed.

d. Board Member Appointment Update – Ms. Chiles indicated the Board of Health Selection Committee has been interviewing applicants for positions with expiring terms as follows: Cosmetologist/Salon Owner member - Cynthia Collins, Theresa Prauner, Cheryl Gregoski, Cynthia Kopp, Marie Nordboe and Marcella Winkle; School Owner member - Bruce Nims and Mike Evert. The Board of Health will make the appointments on November 21, 2005. Ms. Chiles stated there will also be a consumer member appointed, however, she did not have a list of the consumer member applicants.

e. Recognition of 5 Years of Service as a Board Member – Stacey Tessendorf (Term ends November 30, 2005)

Stacey Tessendorf, Cosmetologist/Salon Owner member term expires on November 30, 2005. He has decided not to apply for reappointment. Vice-Chairperson Pam Rowland presented the Board Member Service Plaque and framed Certificate of Appreciation to Chairperson Stacey Tessendorf for his 5 years of Service to the citizens of the State of Nebraska as a member of the Nebraska Board of Cosmetology Examiners.

ADJOURNMENT

Sherri Scheele moved, seconded by Jeff Pippitt to adjourn the meeting. A voice vote was taken. Voting aye: all. Voting nay: none. Motion carried.

The meeting adjourned at 4:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Sherri Scheele, Secretary
Board of Cosmetology Examiners

Recorded by Susan Chocholousek, Credentialing Specialist.

Summarized by Susan Chocholousek, Credentialing Specialist and Carol Ann Gray, Credentialing Coordinator.